VALENCIA DEBATE (Spain) 20/09/2012 Darwin's auditorium (University of Valencia)

1) <u>Is synthetic Biology a safe tool to deal with future challenges as the population ages?</u>

- Synthetic biology is already a young science, it is necessary to improve much more. Maybe it will be a perfect tool in the near future.
- I think it is a good tool but we should control it very strictly and minimize the risk of it.
- The perception of the risk is different depending what kind of expert perceives it. Biology is made by biologists who know that life changes but synthetic biology is also made by engineers. In engineering things are made to last, that could be dangerous.
- The nuclear power plants are products of engineering and theoretically do not change. However, there are sometimes unexpected changes like in Fukushima.
- Taking away social prejudices this science is not different to any other science.
- Many biological tools are not totally reliable (like medicines). I do not see the differences.
- Well, it is different because biological systems are normally uncontrollable because of its nature.
- I think it will be a great tool if you set up limits on it. You will always have the risk of being outside the limits, but that happens in all sciences (not only in synthetic biology).
- People are always scared about all kind of steps forward in science, it is not unique to synthetic biology.
- Maybe we need a better press and not a manipulated one. Scientists should be disseminators, too.
- I only wanted to clarify that in news and old technologies the road is not built. We have to build it.

2) Open source / Monopolies on life

In an ideal world open source will be the best, but we are not in the ideal world. What happened in the video really worries me.

- Nowadays, it' is better an open source system because of the current way of thinking of the businessmen. They are always thinking about making money.
- We are normally using free software for computers but biology is not like computers. Maybe open source should be very different in that case.
- Monopolies favors piracy, hacking and the black market. Open source could help to eliminate that black market and improve the science.
- The monopoly is not good, it is necessary for many people to work together in order to improve. That happens in open source.
- In any option, it is necessary to have the right controls.
- I find a real problem in open source. Maybe normal people (not experts) will be trying to use the technology. It could be really dangerous.
- Be aware that open source could achieve really important scientific advances.
- I think the best option is not open source and it is not monopolies. I'm leaning towards a diversity of public businesses.
- We know people are irresponsible. A very good product could be used in a bad way, but a potential bad use should not stop a great idea.

3) Communication with mutated bacteria

- In my opinion synthetic biology has the same level of changes that natural biology has.
- No, a manipulated organism should be subjected to a continued quality control.
- Of course, devices made by synthetic biology need a more comprehensive control than engineered (non-living) devices.
- We all know that synthetic biology is, since an engineering point of view, different than a biological point of view. It is necessary to show all pleiotropic effects that happen when you introduce an exogenous protein. We are not able to control everything inside the cell. That is maybe the only difference between normal and modified organism.

ANALYSIS

1) <u>Is synthetic biology a safe tool to deal with future challenges as the population ages?</u>

Synthetic biology is a young science. In order to be developed in a correct way, it is necessary to be aware of the instability of synthetic biology systems. In addition, people need more reliable information about science in media. In news and old technologies the road is not built. We have to build it.

2) Open source / Monopolies on life

In general, open source is the best option because monopolies favor piracy and hacking and a non-controlled black market. Likewise, in any option it is necessary to have the right controls to avoid possible risks. Everyone agreed that a potential bad use should not stop a great idea.

3) Communication with mutated bacteria

We all know that synthetic biology has an engineering point of view which is different than a pure biological point of view. It is necessary to show all pleiotropic effects that happen when you introduce an exogenous protein. We are not able to control everything inside the cell. That may be the only difference between a normal and a modified organism.

COMMENTS

The wide audience of this debate was biology-related students, and thus, they were concerned about the power that media have over the society when talking about new technologies as synthetic biology and how they can manipulate that information, driven by economical interests; while scientist role is normally limited to research.